

# Errata

for  
*Starting a Sentence in Dutch*

G.J. Bouma

January 13, 2010

Below are some mistakes encountered in my dissertation *Starting a Sentence in Dutch: A corpus study of subject- and object-fronting* that have been pointed out to me by others after the printing and defense. Simple spelling and lay-out errors are not typically included. If you find mistakes not mentioned below, I would be grateful if you could send them to me so that I can consider including them. The latest version of this document can be found on my homepage (at the moment of writing this is [www.ling.uni-potsdam.de/~gerlof](http://www.ling.uni-potsdam.de/~gerlof)).

p76 (3rd paragraph, last sentence)

having an object in the Vorfeld does justify classifying the whole VP as a Vorfeld constituent.

⇒

Having an object in the Vorfeld does not justify classifying the whole VP as a Vorfeld constituent.

p82 (2nd paragraph, 2nd sentence)

The logit of  $P$  is the left hand side in (17)

⇒

The logit of  $P$  is the left hand side in (16)

p82 (2nd paragraph, last sentence)

The ratio between the predicted odds for two values of variable  $i$  is  $e^{\beta_i}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  (something along the lines of)

The ratio between the predicted odds for two values of a binary variable  $i$  is  $e^{\beta_i}$ .

p145 (Table 4.19)

The indirect object counts are by mistake only based on half of the available indirect object data. Although the actual numbers are different, the conclusions drawn in the text w.r.t. this data remain unchanged.